

REMARKS

Claims 80-82, 85 and 95-97 remain pending. Claims 52-78 and 86-93 are cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer.

Applicants' Response to the Outstanding Rejections

Claims 90-93 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 55 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yamazaki et al., Lo et al., Tabrizi et al., Davis et al.** and Abe et al. as applied to claims 52-54, 60, and 68-78 above, and further in view of **Bachman**. Claims 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yamazaki et al., Lo et al., Tabrizi et al., Davis et al.** as applied to claims 52-54, 60, and 68-78 above, and further in view of **Watanabe et al.** Claims 61, 62 and 65-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yamazaki et al., Lo et al., Tabrizi et al., Davis et al.** and Abe et al. as applied to claims 52-54, 60, and 68-78 above, and further in view of **Petric**. Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yamazaki et al., Lo et al., Tabrizi et al., Davis et al., Abe et al.** and **Petric** as applied to claims 61, 62, and 65-67 above, and further in view of **Lamattina et al.** Claim 64 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yamazaki et al., Lo et al., Tabrizi et al., Davis et al., Abe et al.** and **Petric** as applied to claims 61, 62, and 65-67 above, and further in view of **Bisschops et al.** Claims 86-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yamazaki et al., Lo et al., Tabrizi et al., Davis et al.** as applied to claims 52-54, 60, 68-78, and 86 above, and further in view of **Livesay**. In response thereto applicants' have cancelled the claims and respectfully submit that the rejections are now moot.


Claims 80-82, 85, and 95-97 stand allowed for the reasons explained in the December 8, 2004 Office Action.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants submit that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP


Michael J. Caridi
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 56,171
Telephone: (202) 822-1100
Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

MJC/asc
Enclosure: Petition for Extension of Time